Effective PICC Securement Creating an

Ongoing Sustainable Environment

Elizabeth Culverwell Nurse Consultant Vascular Access IVNNZ. Inc. National Conference

16th-17th March 2018



Disclosure: No known conflict of interest

ABOUT US:

- The Canterbury District Health Board is the second largest health provider in NZ
- Tertiary acute complex service
- Medical School & Research centre

Across Canterbury and the West Coast Regions: Provides health services to population of 600,000 NZs Place between 1500-1650 PICCs annually

HEALTHCARE IS A COMPLEX ADAPTIVE SYSTEM DELIVERED BY PEOPLE ON THE FRONT LINE WHO FLEX AND ADJUST TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES



WE WORK IN A WORLD OF CONTINUOUS & FAST DEVELOPMENT OF MEDICAL DEVICE DESIGNED TO GENERATE CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

INTRODUCING NEW DISCOVERIES & TECHNIQUES IS INEVITABLE

THESE INNOVATIONS CHALLENGE US & REQUIRE US TO RE-DEFINE CLINICAL PRACTICE



WHY DO PICCS MIGRATE?

1. Area of insertion site

2. Human factors

- Skill mix
- Lack of experience = lack of confidence > serious error
- Skill decay -"the last time I dressed a PICC was ..."
- 'cultural attitudes' cultural shifts away from norm
- Expectations / product
- Patient variables
- 'Missing in action'- on the job learning

 PICC securement is fundamentally a management and care issue. It can be the difference between catheter success and failure or death



THE GOAL – TO ACHIEVE

- ✓Zero PICC migration
- One stabilization device for life of PICC
- ✓Decrease PICC related HABSI
- Stabilisation solution for patients with skin reactions/Irritant Contact Dermatitis
- Increase staff confidence during cleaning and dressing
- Increase patient satisfaction and safety
 Fiscal responsibility

PRE-TRIAL AREA RESULTS 2014 MIGRATION & RE-INSERTION

2 Pre-Trial Areas	COST
179 PICCS inserted	\$ 70.005
24 Migrations requiring re-insertion	\$9.480
TOTAL COST	\$79.485

PRE-TRIAL RESULTS CAMPUS WIDE

ANNUAL COST INSERTION /RE-INSERTION PER YEAR

Total PICCs inserted	1650
Cost Per Insertion	\$395.00
Total Cost	\$651.750
Estimated Migrations requiring re-insertion	150
Cost of replacement	\$59.250
OVERALL COST	\$711.000

Four month Trial of SecurAcath[™] 2015

Criteria for Replacement:

- 1. PICC migration that met the criteria for replacement
- 2. Patients with history of PICC migration requiring re-insertion for essential IVT
- 3. Skin related issues
- 4. Vessel health preservation & patient safety



If the catheter tip *migrates out 2cms* continue to use, but monitor for any further migration.

- 2 If the catheter tip *migrates out 4 8cms*, only isotonic solutions should be infused. If irritant or vessicant solutions i.e. Parenteral Nutrition are required, the *PICC must be removed* to prevent complications such as vessel erosion, perforation or DVT. Replace PICC if still required.
- 3 If the catheter tip *migrates out 8cms or greater, REMOVE PICC*. Replace PICC if still required.

LESSONS FROM 2015 TRIAL



THE CHALLENGE OF A LARGE SYSTEMS CHANGE

- Creating sustainability in the clinical environment
- Ability to see and understand the complexities of practice within each area
- Is there a formula for success?
- Does this guarantee ongoing sustainability?

BENEFITS OF GRADUAL ROLLOUT 2016-2017

Provide platform for:

- Effectively align critical device education with practical skills
- Nomination of resource person/s
- Weekly ward rounds provided foundation for:
 - building knowledge & skills
 - confidence & commitment at bedside
 - 'Moment in time' opportunity- education
 - staff engagement & feedback proved essential
 - audit

2 YEARS ON...

HAVE WE CREATED A SUSTAINABLE AND SAFE ENVIRONMENT?

ARE WE CHANGING CULTURE AND ATTITUDES TOWARDS PICC MANAGEMENT?

SOME OF OUR RESULTS TO DATE...

TRIAL WARD SURGICAL MIGRATION/REINSERTION



CONTROL WARD MIGRATION/REINSERTION



AREA 1 MIGRATION/REINSERTION





AREA 2 (WITH SHARED COMMUNITY CARE)

MIGRATION/REINSERTION



AREA 3 MIGRATION/REINSERTION



AREA 4 MIGRATION/REINSERTION



AREA 5





MIGRATION/REINSERTION



PICC HABSI

SecurAcath[™] Trial commenced mid June 2015



Cost of treating HA BSI \$20-50.000

Source: CDHB Infection Control Service

- Staff engagement
- Mentoring
- Commitment
- Commitment

Improved Patient Outcomes

- Knowledge
- Skill
- Confidence

Confidence

Best available clinical evidence Effective Product

FORMULA FOR SUCCESS

- 'on the job learning'
- Reinforcement of knowledge & skills learnt
- Accountability & support systems
- Provide <u>deliberate and consistent reinforcement</u> this is the value of processes and systems that reinforce, encourage and monitor those critical behaviours

CREATING A CULTURE OF SAFETY TRANSFERRING LEARNING TO BEHAVIOUR

Reinforcement that occurs after the training event produces the highest level of learning effectiveness



Spreading best practice

Planting new seeds

"We are what we repeatedly do. Excellence, then, is not an act, but a habit." Aristotle

REFERENCES

- Chopra V, et. al. (2015) The Michigan Appropriateness Guide for Intravascular Catheters (MAGIC): Results from a Multispecialty Panel Using the RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method. Annals of Internal Medicine; Vol. 163 No.6 (Suppliment. 15 September 2015
- Hallam, C., Weston, V., Denton, A., Hill, S., Bodenham, A., Dunn, H., Jackson, T. (2016) Development of the UK Vessel Health and Preservation (VHP) frameworlnfusion Nursing Society. Infusion nursing standards of practice. J Infus nurs. 2016. SOP #37(S72)
- Hughes M.,E. (2014) Reducing PICC Migration and improving outcomes.British J Nurs. 2014;123(2):16-21
- Waterhouse, J., Bandisode, V., bBrandon, D., Olson, M., Docherty, S.L., Evaluation of the use of a stabilisation device to improve quality of care in patients with PICCs. AACN Adv Crit Care 2014;25(3):2113-220
- Macklin, D., Blackburn, P.L. (2015) Central Venous Catheter Securement: Using the healthcare and technology synergy model to take a closer look. JAVA. Vol. 20 No1:
- Moureau NL, Trick N, Nifong T, Perry C, Kelley C, Leavett M, Gordan SM, Wallace J, Harvill M, Biggar C, Doll M, Papke L, Benton L., Phelan DA. (2012) Vessel health and preservation (Part 1): a new evidence- based approach to vascular access selection and management.