

1. Brescia, et al. "Subcutaneously anchored securement for peripherally inserted central catheters: Immediate, early, and late complications." Journal of Vascular Access (2021) June

2. McParlan et al, "Intravascular catheter migration: A cross-sectional and health-economic comparison of adhesive and subcutaneous engineered stabilisation devices for intravascular device securement." Journal of Vascular Access (2020) Jan;21(1):33-38.

3. Pittiruti, et al. "Clinical experience of a subcutaneously anchored sutureless system for securing central venous catheters." British Journal of Nursing (2019) Jan 24;28(2):S4-14.

4. Zerla et al. "Evaluating Safety, Efficacy, and Cost-Effectiveness of PICC Securement by Subcutaneously Anchored Stabilization Device." Journal of Vascular Access 18.3 (2017):238-242.

5. Dolcino et al. "Potential Role of a Subcutaneously Anchored Securement Device in Preventing Dislodgement of Tunneled-Cuffed Central Venous Devices in Pediatric Patients." Journal of Vascular Access 18.6 (2017):540-545.

6. Hughes, Meinir Elen. "Reducing PICC migrations and improving patient outcomes." British Journal of Nursing 23:Sup1, (2014): S12-S18.

7. Paquet, F. et al. "Impact of arm selection on the incidence of PICC complications: results of a randomized controlled trial," JVA (2017) 18(5),408-414.

8. Gibson, C. et al. "Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters: Use at a Tertiary Care pediatric Center," JVIR (2013) 24, 1323-133.

9. Le Royer, C. et al. "Prospective follow-up of complications related to peripherally inserted central catheters", Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses (2013) 43, 350-355.

10. Yamamoto, Alvin J., et al. "Sutureless securement device reduces complications of peripherally inserted central venous catheters." Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 13.1 (2002): 77-81.

11. Gossens, et. al., SecurAstaP trial: securement with SecurAcath versus StatLock for PICCs, a randomised open trial. BMJ 2018

12. Bouya S, et al. "Global Prevalence and Device Related Causes of Needle Stick Injuries among Health Care Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Annals of Global Health." 2020;86(1):351-358. doi: 10.5334/aogh.2698

13. Cooke C, Stephens J. "Clinical, Economic, and Humanistic Burden of Needlestick Injuries in Healthcare Workers." Medical Devices: Evidence and Research. 2017:10

14. Lee J, Botteman M, Nicklasson L. "A Systematic Review of the Economic and Humanistic Burden of Needlestick Injury in the United States." Am J Infect Control. 2004;32(3):E43. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2004.04.064

15. Bingham A, et al. "Estimated Lifetime HIV-Related Medical Costs in the United States." Sex Transm Dis. 2021 Apr 1;48(4):299-304. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001366.

16. Rizavi H, et al. "Chronic Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Disease Burden and Cost in the United States." Hepatology. 2013 Jun;57(6):2164-70. doi: 10.1002/hep.26218. Epub 2013 May 6.

ORDERING INFORMATION


NO.	SIZE	QTY.
400150	6F	Box (10 each)
400120	7F	Box (10 each)
400160	8F	Box (10 each)
400170	9F	Box (10 each)
400180	10F	Box (10 each)
400200	12F	Box (10 each)

Additional SecurAcath® product information

- Not made with natural latex rubber
- MRI Conditional

More information available at www.securacath.com

Download the SecurAcath® app



A new Securement Device for Drains

All You Need is Just One...
for the life of the line

Improved Drainage Catheter Securement for the Life of the Line™



JUST ONE
FOR THE
LIFE OF
THE LINE



DECREASES
REPLACED
LINES



LOWERS
TOTAL COST
OF PATIENT
CARE

Dramatically Decreases Catheter Dislodgement

- Catheter dislodgement defined as accidental removal or movement that resulted in loss of function
- SecurAcath clinical data publications show very low dislodgement rates of 0–1.6%^{1–6}
- Adhesive securement devices have published dislodgement rates of 7–12%^{7–10}
- Many accidental dislodgements occur during dressing changes when catheter is not secured

Improves Efficiency

- One SecurAcath secures for the life of the line
- Catheter remains secure during dressing changes
- Saves time during routine dressing changes
- Dressing change can be done 41% faster¹¹
- Allows for easy catheter repositioning if catheter tip must be pulled back

Allows 360 Degree Site Cleaning While Secured



- Excellent cleaning access around the entire insertion site
- Catheter remains stable and secure during cleaning

Eliminates Costly Suture Needle Stick Risk

- 385,000 sharps injuries to healthcare workers in the U.S. annually, over 2 million globally¹²
- 22% are caused by suture needles¹³
- Average cost to hospital of up to \$3766 per exposure¹⁴
- Serious cases involving bloodborne pathogen transmission far exceed average cost
- Lifetime HIV-related medical costs up to \$490,045¹⁵
- Chronic Hepatitis C lifetime cost \$64,490¹⁶
- Fear, anxiety, emotional distress and productivity loss of healthcare workers create additional unnecessary burden
- Violation of limiting employee's sharps exposure with engineered controls if available, CFR 1941.1030 = \$7,000



Scan or Visit to
Learn How SecurAcath
Improves Patient Care

www.securacath.com



Reliable securement of drainage catheters is a serious clinical problem. Sutures and adhesives have been used for years with moderate success. Catheter migration and dislodgement are frequent complications of drainage catheters.

How does the SecurAcath work?

- Small, blunt, nitinol securement feet are placed just beneath skin right at the catheter insertion site
- Cover is snapped onto base to affix to catheter shaft
- No sutures or additional skin punctures are needed
- No adhesives needed for securement
- Remains in place for life of catheter



FOLD



INSERT



SNAP



securAcath.

BECAUSE PATIENTS DESERVE BETTER™