

Optimal Placement


- Adopt a lower IJV or subclavian approach
- Secure with SecurAcath
- Utilize the shoulder as a splint to stabilise the hub and dressing

Securement

- SecurAcath secures the line at the catheter skin junction
- Ensure the dressing covers the suture hub to increase stability
- Consider adding an additional fixation dressing to the suture hub to maximize stability

SecurAcath Benefits vs. Sutures

- Stability increases dressing wear time
- No unnecessary skin punctures
- Improved ability to clean insertion site may reduce infections
- Maximises patient comfort
- Eliminates suture needle stick risk
- Standardizes practice



Optimal CICC Placement and Securement

1. Kleidon, et al. "Securement to prevent noncuffed central venous catheter dislodgement in pediatrics The SECURED superiority randomized clinical trial" JAMA Pediatrics, July 15, 2024
2. Rowe, et al. "Catheter Securement Impact on PICC-related CLABSI: A University Hospital Perspective" American Journal of Infection Control, Open Access, June 17, 2020
3. Hawes, et al. "A retrospective study of subcutaneous anchor securement systems in oncology patients" Journal of Vascular Access, 2023
4. Brescia, et al. "Subcutaneously anchored securement for peripherally inserted central catheters: immediate, early, and late complications." Journal of Vascular Access (2021) June
5. McParlan et al. "Intravascular catheter migration: A cross-sectional and health-economic comparison of adhesive and subcutaneous engineered stabilisation devices for intravascular device securement." Journal of Vascular Access (2020) Jan;21(1):33-38.
6. Pittiruti, et al. "Clinical experience of a subcutaneously anchored sutureless system for securing central venous catheters." British Journal of Nursing (2019) Jan 24;28(2):54-14.
7. Zerla et al. "Evaluating Safety, Efficacy, and Cost-Effectiveness of PICC Securement by Subcutaneously Anchored Stabilization Device." Journal of Vascular Access 18.3 (2017):238-242.
8. Dolcino et al. "Potential Role of a Subcutaneously Anchored Securement Device in Preventing Dislodgement of Tunneled-Cuffed Central Venous Devices in Pediatric Patients." Journal of Vascular Access 18.6 (2017):540-545.
9. Hughes, Meinir Elen. "Reducing PICC migrations and improving patient outcomes." British Journal of Nursing 23:Sup1, (2014): S12-S18.
10. Paquet, F. et al. "Impact of arm selection on the incidence of PICC complications: results of a randomized controlled trial," JVA (2017) 18(5),408-414.
11. Gibson, C. et al. "Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters: Use at a Tertiary Care pediatric Center," JVIR (2013) 24, 1323-133.
12. Le Royer, C. et al. "Prospective follow-up of complications related to peripherally inserted central catheters", Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses (2013) 43, 350-355.
13. Yamamoto, Alvin J., et al. "Sutureless securement device reduces complications of peripherally inserted central venous catheters." Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 13.1 (2002): 77-81.
14. Cardella et al., Cumulative experience with 1,273 peripherally inserted central catheters at a single institution. JVIR 1996; 7:5-13.
15. Abebe, A., Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infection Review. Hosp Med Clin, Jan. 2014, (3) e32-e49.
16. Gossens, et. al., SecurAstaP trial: securement with SecurAcath versus StatLock for PICCs, a randomised open trial. BJM 2018
17. Bouya S, et al. "Global Prevalence and Device Related Causes of Needle Stick Injuries among Health Care Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Annals of Global Health." 2020;86(1):351-358. doi: 10.5334/aogh.2698
18. Cooke C, Stephens J. "Clinical, Economic, and Humanistic Burden of Needlestick Injuries in Healthcare Workers." Medical Devices: Evidence and Research. 2017:10
19. Lee J, Botteman M, Nicklasson L. "A Systematic Review of the Economic and Humanistic Burden of Needlestick Injury in the United States." Am J Infect Control. 2004;32(3):E43. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2004.04.064
20. Bingham A, et al. "Estimated Lifetime HIV-Related Medical Costs in the United States." Sex Transm Dis. 2021 Apr 1;48(4):299-304. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001366.
21. Rizavi H, et al. "Chronic Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Disease Burden and Cost in the United States." Hepatology. 2013 Jun;57(6):2164-70. doi: 10.1002/hep.26218. Epub 2013 May 6.

ORDERING INFORMATION


NO.	SIZE	QTY.
400130	3F	Box (10 each)
400140	4F	Box (10 each)
400110	5F	Box (10 each)
400150	6F	Box (10 each)
400120	7F	Box (10 each)
400160	8F	Box (10 each)
400170	9F	Box (10 each)
400180	10F	Box (10 each)
400200	12F	Box (10 each)

Additional SecurAcath® product information

- Not made with natural latex rubber
- MRI Conditional

More information available at www.securacath.com

Download the SecurAcath® app



All you Need is Just One
For the Life Of The Line™



181 Cheshire Lane, Suite 100
Plymouth, MN 55441 USA
+1.763.225.6699
www.securacath.com

www.securacath.com/patents
©2025 by Interrad Medical, Inc. All rights reserved.



Please refer to instructions for use for indications, contraindications, hazards, warnings, cautions and directions for use.

Interrad Medical and SecurAcath are trademarks of Interrad Medical, Inc.
1329-006 Rev K



SecurAcath® provides improved catheter securement for the life of the line

Significantly Reduces Risk of CLABSI

- SECURED is a multi-center, superiority, randomized controlled trial to compare dislodgement of non-cuffed CVCs secured with SecurAcath compared to adhesive securement device¹
- SECURED showed a 50% reduction in CLABSI with SecurAcath compared to adhesive securement device
- University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS) analyzed 7,779 patients over four years of Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infection (CLABSI) data²
- UAMS study found adhesive securement device had a 288% increase in risk of CLABSI compared to SecurAcath

Dramatically Decreases Catheter Dislodgement

- SECURED trial showed catheter dislodgement occurred 4.4 times more frequently for adhesive device compared to SecurAcath¹
- Ten-year analysis at Clatterbridge Cancer Centre showed the probability of reaching the end of need with one PICC at 2 years for patients with an adhesive securement device was 68%, for patients with SecurAcath it was over 95%³
- SecurAcath clinical data publications show very low dislodgement rates of 0-1.6%⁴⁻⁹
- Adhesive securement devices have published dislodgement rates of 7-12%^{1, 10-13}
- Many accidental dislodgements occur during dressing changes when catheter is not secured
- Catheter replacement cost is approximately \$500 at bedside, \$1,000 in IR¹⁴, \$1,200 in pediatrics; these are decreased with SecurAcath

Prevents Catheter Movement

- Catheter movement at the insertion site can introduce bacteria beneath the skin¹⁵
- Improved stability may promote healing at insertion site which acts as a natural barrier to infection
- May reduce phlebitis, thrombosis and infection

Improves Efficiency

- One SecurAcath secures for the life of the line
- Catheter remains secure during dressing changes
- Saves time during routine dressing changes
- Dressing change can be done 41% faster¹⁶
- Allows for easy catheter repositioning if catheter tip must be pulled back
- Decreased catheter complications significantly reduces overall cost of patient care

Allows 360 Degree Site Cleaning While Secured



- Excellent cleaning access around the entire insertion site
- Catheter remains stable and secure during cleaning
- Improved stability and cleaning may help reduce infections

Eliminates Costly Suture Needle Stick Risk

- 385,000 sharps injuries to healthcare workers in the U.S. annually, over 2 million globally¹⁷
- 22% are caused by suture needles¹⁸
- Average cost to hospital of up to \$3766 per exposure¹⁹
- Serious cases involving bloodborne pathogen transmission far exceed average cost
- Lifetime HIV-related medical costs up to \$490,045²⁰
- Chronic Hepatitis C lifetime cost \$64,490²¹
- Fear, anxiety, emotional distress and productivity loss of healthcare workers create additional unnecessary burden
- Violation of limiting employee's sharps exposure with engineered controls if available, CFR 1941.1030 = \$7,000

How does the SecurAcath work?

- Small, blunt, nitinol securement feet are placed just beneath skin right at the catheter insertion site
- Cover is snapped onto base to affix to catheter shaft
- No sutures or additional skin punctures are needed
- No adhesives needed for securement
- Remains in place for life of catheter
- Works with venous access and general/ abscess drainage catheters



FOLD

INSERT

SNAP

Improving The Quality of Care

The current standard practices around venous access device securement include the use of sutures or adhesives, both challenged with complications. Sutures are designed and indicated for wound closure and not device securement. Orientation of sutured lines leads to compromised dressings and displaced catheters because of the tensions and weight of the lumens, patients' hair, skin folds and moisture. The impetus for infection is obvious. Adhesive securement is challenged by many of the same variables in addition to dislodgment and migration risk with every dressing change.

SecurAcath offers a single application solution that stabilizes the catheter beneath the insertion site, throughout the entire catheter dwell time. SecurAcath has unmatched positive clinical evidence showing reduced catheter complications. With a suite of clinical support resources, we will support your practitioners every step of the way.



Just One For The Life Of The Line



Increased Risk of CLABSI With Adhesive Devices vs. SecurAcath



Peer-reviewed Publications on Subcutaneous Securement



0-1.6% SecurAcath Dislodgment VS 7-12% Adhesive Devices



Neonates Through Geriatrics



Lowers Total Cost of Patient care



Scan or Visit to Learn How SecurAcath Improves Patient Care
www.securacath.com

securAcath.

BECAUSE PATIENTS DESERVE BETTER™